Suksdorf's many invalid species has been redeemed, I have good reason to believe that there has been a mistake in the matter.

Upon checking Suksdorf's original description from a reprint of D. B. M. in the library, I have found that the correct citation of the magazine is as follows: "Deutsche Botanische Monatsschrift," Band XVI, Heft 12, Dezember, 1898; and not 1892 as was stated in Rhodora.

The error began, I imagine, in what was probably a typographical error in the 1935 paper (Rhodora 37: 295–301. 1935) in which the description of *G. nemorale* Sksd. was cited as appearing in D. B. M. XVI (222) 1892, instead of 1898. Therefore, in the light of these findings, it would appear that *G. Bicknellii* Britton is still the correct name, and that *G. nemorale* must again be discarded in favor of the former. —WILLIAM A. Weber, State College, Pullman, Washington.

Justicia mortuifluminis, nom. nov. J. umbratilis Fernald in Rhodora, xliii. 639, t. 693, figs. 1-3 (1941), not S. Moore in Journ. Bot. li. 216 (1913).—M. L. Fernald.

¹ Mr. Weber is correct. Geranium nemorale was published late in 1898. The description is on one of the last pages of the volume (containing 229 pages).—M. L. F.

Volume 44, no. 518, including pages 21-72, was issued 7 February, 1942.